

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 12 have been amended.

Claims 1–5 and 12–13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irube (U.S. Patent No. 6,377,818) in view of Parulski et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,900,909). For at least the following reasons, the Examiner’s rejection is respectfully traversed.

None of the references disclose or suggest “a main body provided with the image pick-up means, the communication means, and the display means, and having a bent section adapted to be collapsible to close the main body” as recited in claim 1. Similar language is found in claim 12.

Irube discloses a terminal main body 1 with a box-shaped housing and a camera unit 4 (col. 8, lines 17–25; Figs. 3A–3D). Irube does not disclose a main body with a having a bent section adapted to be collapsible to close the main body. Therefore, Irube fails to disclose or suggest a main body provided with image pick-up means, communication means, and display means, and having a bent section adapted to be collapsible to close the main body. Parulski merely discloses an electronic still camera 10 (col. 3, lines 17–22). Therefore, Parulski also fails to disclose or suggest a main body provided with image pick-up means, communication means, and display means, and having a bent section adapted to be collapsible to close the main body. Thus, even if combined, the references do not disclose or suggest all the elements of the claimed invention.

Appl. No. 09/807,143
Amdt. dated May 30, 2006
Reply to Office Action dated February 3, 2006

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any fees resulting from this communication, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 33483.

Respectfully submitted,
PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By: 
Suzanne B. Gagnon – Reg. No. 48,924

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
(216) 579-1700

Date: May 30, 2006